UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, AFL-CIO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 25-cv-01780-WHA

*AMENDED ORDER SETTING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES

This action is assigned to the Honorable William Alsup.

Service of documents. When serving the complaint or notice of removal, the plaintiff or removing defendant must serve on all other parties a copy of this order and the supplementary material specified in Civil Local Rule 4-2.

Availability of Alternative Dispute Resolution. This action is assigned to the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Multi-Option Program governed by <u>ADR Local Rule 3</u>. Counsel and clients shall familiarize themselves with that rule and with the material entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California" on the Court's ADR webpage at www.cand.uscourts.gov/adr.

Important case management dates. Counsel must comply with the dates listed below unless the judge otherwise orders.

Case Management Event	Deadline
File Certification of Conflicts and Interested Entities or Persons. (See Civil L.R. 3-15)	Upon first appearance (and supplement as necessary)
Deadline to file ADR Certification. (See ADR L.R. 3)	5/1/2025
Deadline to meet and confer re: initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan. (See F.R. Civ. P. 26(f))	5/1/2025
Deadline to make initial disclosures. (See F.R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1))	5/15/2025
Deadline to file Joint Case Management Statement. (See Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of California)	*3/06/2025
Initial Case Management Conference: Courtroom 12, 19th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA	*3/13/2025 at 1:00 PM

^{*} Amended to state the earlier order had the wrong case management conference date and the purpose of this order is to provide the correct date.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

cand.uscourts.gov

NOTICE OF ELIGIBILITY FOR VIDEO RECORDING

This case is assigned to a judge who participates in the Cameras in Courtroom Pilot Project. See <u>General Order 65</u> and <u>cand.uscourts.gov/cameras</u>. The parties' consent is required before any proceedings in this case may be recorded. If a party, the presiding judge, or a member of the media requests that a proceeding be recorded, consent of the parties will be presumed unless a party submits an Objection to Request for Video Recording form as directed by the Cameras in the Courtroom Procedures.

Parties objecting to video recording are asked, for research purposes, to communicate to the Court the reasons for declining to participate. If you decline to participate, you should candidly convey the reasons for your decision. Whether you agree to participate or decline to participate will have no effect on your case whatsoever.

